Meeting Commenced at 10:05pm

In Attendance:

USF Campus Development Committee
Alex Bell for Frank Harrison
Carl Carlucci
Sarah Connelly
Guy Conway
Sandy Cooper
Adrian Cuarta
Barbara Donerly
George Ellis
Trudie Frecker
Jim Grant
Ron Hanke
Patricia Haynie
Sheila Holbrook
Hank Lavandera
Rick Lyttle
Jeff Mack
Holly Schoenherr
J.D. Withrow

Guests:
Elizabeth Byrd
Deborah Holton-Fischer
Ron Jones
Erin Kimmerle
Barton Lee
Alyn Pruett
Bobby Schmidt
Wade Weast
John White
1. Welcome – Ron Hanke
Ron Hanke opened the meeting at 10:05 p.m., and asked the Committee members and guests to introduce themselves. Mr. Hanke noted that we are meeting today to review two presentations.

2. Approval of Minutes from 09/14/06 CDC meeting. A motion was made, and seconded, and the Committee voted unanimously to approve the minutes.

3. Forensic Science Outdoor Land Use. The request to develop land for the Anthropology Department was presented by Erin Kimmerle and Elizabeth Bird.

   a. Request to Develop Land (RDL) Form 1

   - The Anthropology Department is requesting approval for non-invasive use of land for multi-disciplinary forensic science research, education, and professional development. The land is located in the USF Ecological Research Area, north of Fletcher Avenue.
     - The land will be used for forensic classes and training programs, to be developed for the police in Tampa and Hillsborough County. The Department has received a $3 million NSF grant for graduate fellows to work with teachers in the county, and the department would need the land for the grant.
   - The objective is to provide space for hands-on training and research on investigation and excavation techniques at outdoor crime scenes.
     - Only 1 square meter of land is used for burials (they will be using pig carcasses obtained from the research departments), and a map will be created pinpointing all of the sites that are used for burials. There is minimal site disturbance expected.
     - Participants will be trained on archeological techniques, using grids, etc. and multidisciplinary areas including toxicology, botany, etc.
   - The funding for the land use will come from two sources:
     - The NSF Grant GK-12
     - Professional Workshops

b. Questions and Answers:
Q: How do you contend with scavenging animals?
A: Use of a cage will prevent scavengers from disturbing the sites.

Q: Outside of USF, who will use the site?
A: Law enforcement, crime scene technicians, medical examiners, high school teachers (not HS students), USF students.

Q: Is there liability insurance for the property if someone gets injured?
A: Yes, the land and use would be covered under USF’s liability policy.

Q: Would this require IAUA approval?
A: Yes, it has already been received.

Q: What is the timeline needed to notify partners that the land is ready for use and the carcasses comply with rules and regulations required before burial?
A: That depends on approval the department receives for its land use request. The pigs need some time to decay after burial and we would like the first workshop to begin in May. The proposed land use would span 3 years. The USF work could begin now. Community partners’ usage would be later.

Q: Will you have a list of who will be on the site?
A: The gate will be locked to keep people out. Anyone accessing the site must go in with Erin for the specific training sessions.

Q: What is your experience with animal rights groups? They might try to disturb the site by pulling the marking flags.
A: We have not had a problem with the same program being run, using the MOSI location. We are not using human cadavers, only pigs that have already been used for research.

Q: We hope that this program would bring good publicity, as forensics is very popular right now. We expect that if we do it right, we will get good press. We need to be sure we address all issues and get all permissions/permits.
A: When the program was started at MOSI, there were the same concerns. MOSI did a thorough search concerning officials that would need to be informed. This program would be relocation from MOSI to USF and pigs were used at the MOSI location.

Q: Bioscience uses the space. Do they have a problem with you using it?
A: No, the proposal was submitted to them and they said everything is fine. The Committee needs to see what Bioscience is doing on the site, as the Committee is not aware of any programs.

Motion:

Recommendation made and passed to provide ACE with information for the November 2 meeting.

4. Tampa Campus 2005 Master Plan Update. Alyn Pruett, of WRT, and Barbara Donerly from Facilities Planning reviewed where we are in the Master Plan process.
   a. The first public hearing was held and the “Comments” have been received from the agencies.
   b. Representatives from USF will be meeting with the City of Tampa to begin discussions concerning the Campus Development Agreement with the City of Tampa.
   c. We will need to agree on methodology to arrive at the money requested from the Concurrency Trust. Last year we received funds for the shuttle system and intersection improvements.
   d. There is a process to request and receive money from the Concurrency Trust Fund. They want a Development Agreement that negotiates payment.
   e. There will be a joint public hearing with the BOT in December, then the first of next ear we will go the BOG.
   f. The City of Tampa has to have the Development Agreement approved also.
   g. We will identify impacts around campus. Enrollment has changed the numbers. Reduced FTE enrollment over 20 ears.
      1. Housing: New housing did not meet the 20% FTE because enrollment changed. We are now projecting 25%.
      2. Off Campus Housing: Coordinate with off-campus community.
      3. Traffic: Addresses trips on/off campus, roadway improvements, multimodal transportation and transit, 15 intersection improvements, bikeways, walkways, cooperation with light rail.
      4. Parking: Will need 5,000 new spaces.

h. Questions and Answers:

Q: Between the time the Draft Master Plan was distributed and now – are there any changes in course or direction?
A: Yes.
1) Moffitt is not interested in a joint effort with their new building. If Moffitt is not in the Plan as privately financed, they should be moved from dark purple on the Master Plan map to light purple.

2) It was also suggested that there be safer crossings from Fowler Field to the Charter School, with additional parking (should work with Athletics on this).

3) FMHI requested a plan change (which is not reflected) that they have a building on the 10 year plan.

4) We haven’t seen funding for CUTR, so question arose on whether their proposed building should be in the 10 year or the 20 year plan.

Q: Is Housing appropriate for the 10 year period?  
A: Yes.

Q: Is parking appropriate for the 10 year period?  
A: Yes.

Q: If we choose 38F will this be a problem with BOG and will it require an amendment?  
A: No, we have placeholders on the list.

Q: Has there been anything in the Deans’ meetings that CDC should know about?  
A: No.

Q: Has the golf course any plans for expansions in their occupied space?  
A: Nothing that we are aware of at this time.

Motion:

Adoption of the Master Plan at UBOT would serve as the 2nd public hearing. A recommendation was made and passed to send the Master Plan to ACE.

5. Next Meeting

The next meeting date will be announced at a later time, after we hear from Moffitt.

7. Meeting adjourned at 11:00.