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The Department of Integrative Biology emphasizes learning and teaching about interactions, across all scales, among humans and other diverse organisms in a range of environments. These interactions mediate the resilience of natural biotic systems, and enhance the sustainability of products and processes that are beneficial to ecosystems and consequently to human well-being. Thus, our mission is to create new knowledge and promote learning about ecosystem health and sustainability.

The Department of Integrative Biology is committed to promoting a diverse and inclusive community of students, staff, faculty, and alumni that: honors differences, provides equal opportunity, fosters mutual respect, challenges stereotyped preconceptions, and condemns all forms of discrimination and prejudice. We respect and appreciate the diversity of human experience differentiated by culture and circumstance, including those distinguished by race, ethnicity, ancestry, nationality, citizenship, language, age, gender, sexuality, disability, family status, socioeconomic background, political beliefs, and religion. These core principles compel us to make every effort to recruit and retain (as students, staff, and faculty) members of historically excluded groups that are underrepresented in our discipline, in our university, and in our community; and to make every effort to integrate meaningfully the experiences, histories, and perspectives of these groups into our curricular and co-curricular programs and learning environments.

## 1. Administration of The Department

1.1. Overview. The Department of Integrative Biology (IB) is comprised of faculty on the Tampa, St Petersburg and Sarasota-Manatee campuses. IB's mission is to offer high-quality graduate and undergraduate courses and degrees in biology and conservation biology, to foster student success, and to conduct research that produces new knowledge about organisms and their interactions with their environment.
1.2. Department Chairperson. The Regular Faculty (defined in section 2.1) shall nominate the Department Chairperson to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences for appointment for a period of no more than four years. The Department Chairperson shall be eligible for renomination. The Department Chairperson shall be the chief administrator of the Department and is the formal supervisor of all IB faculty members. The Chairperson shall promote the activities of the faculty so that they can best perform their research and instructional activities. The Department Chairperson cooperates with the Campus Chairs, the Graduate Program Director, the Undergraduate Program Director, and the Faculty Advisory Committee to address opportunities and challenges that affect the department. The Department Chairperson works with the office manager (ASA) on fiscal matters, including annual reports to the Dean. The Department Chairperson shall coordinate scheduling of faculty meetings and the agenda. The Department Chairperson shall represent the IB Department to the College and University, including attending Council of Chairs Meetings.
1.2.1. Disputes with the Department Chairperson. The IB Regular Faculty may, with a simple majority vote of all Regular Faculty, vote for further consultation or to seek mediation on decisions made by the Department Chairperson. Regular Faculty may recommend to the Dean the removal of the Department Chairperson, if a $2 / 3$ majority of all of the Regular Faculty vote to do so.
1.2.2. Selection of the Department Chairperson. Tenured faculty in the Department of Integrative Biology are eligible to be Department Chairperson. The Department Chairperson is appointed by the CAS Dean in consultation with IB faculty, and shall typically serve a renewable, four-year term.
1.2.3. Search for the Department Chairperson. The current Department Chairperson shall consult with the Regular Faculty and the CAS Dean on whether to conduct an internal or external search for a new Department Chairperson. Internal search candidates may be nominated, with their consent, by the Faculty Advisory Committee or they may selfnominate. The Faculty Advisory Committee will appoint a search committee consisting of at least five members of Regular Faculty, at least one of whom must be from a branch campus. The search committee will develop a list of candidates and manage the interviews per USF rules. The Regular Faculty will vote on the candidates' acceptability, rank them, and forward their recommendations to the Dean. The Dean shall negotiate with Department Chairperson candidates and appoint the Department Chairperson. External search candidates are recruited and interviewed using the standard procedure for filling a new faculty position. Selection of a nominee shall be by vote of the Regular Faculty.
1.2.4. Interim Department Chairperson. Should a Department Chairperson be unable to continue in the position before his/her current term has ended, the Department may choose to nominate an interim Department Chairperson to the CAS Dean. The nominee shall be selected by simple majority vote of the Regular Faculty. In this case, absentee ballots will be allowed. Typically, an interim Department Chairperson's term should not exceed two years. In cases where the transition is anticipated, the department will appoint a search committee consisting of members of Regular Faculty and will proceed as described above for an internal candidate.
1.3. Campus Administrative Officers. The Campus Chair (St Petersburg) or Campus Associate Chair (Sarasota Manatee) is the Administrative Officer at each of the branch campuses as of May 14, 2020. The Campus Dean will act as Campus Associate Chair in the absence of a departmental representative. The Campus Chair and Campus Associate Chair are each the official liaison between the IB Department Chair and the branch campus administration (e.g., Campus Deans) and branch faculty. In consultation with the Department Chair, the Campus Chair/Associate Chair's responsibilities will include faculty assignments, managing student demand, course scheduling, and management of the branch campus budget. They will also provide input to the

Department Chair for annual evaluation of branch campus faculty, and for tenure and promotion applications.

> 1.3.1. Selection of a New Campus Chair. Campus Chair/Associate Chairs appointments shall be made by the Department Chairperson in consultation with the Campus Dean. Normally, appointments are renewable annually for a period of four years by decision of the Department Chairperson. The Department Chairperson shall consult with the Regular Faculty at each branch campus to determine nominees for Campus Chair/Associate Chair.
1.4. Associate Chairperson. The Department Chairperson shall appoint an Associate Chairperson (AC) from among the department Regular Faculty. The duties of the AC include advising the Department Chairperson, acting as the departmental representative in the Department Chairperson's absence, and supervising management and analysis of data concerning departmental activities. The AC also updates the IB Instructional Guidelines in collaboration with the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee. The AC handles undergraduate student grievances and incidences of academic dishonesty. The duties of the Associate Chairperson may be combined with the Undergraduate Program Director or Graduate Program Director. Each campus shall have its own AC.
1.5. Undergraduate Program Director. The Department Chairperson shall appoint an Undergraduate Program Director (UPD) from among the department Regular Faculty. The UPD coordinates the undergraduate program; in particular, their duties include chairing the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee and related activities aimed at improving the undergraduate curriculum. The UPD also coordinates logistics for courses, including construction of teaching schedules, scheduling of rooms for classes, and coordination with the Undergraduate Program Specialist. They coordinate with other undergraduate directors, and act as liaison with the College Bioadvisors, other relevant College staff, and the Associate Dean for Graduate and Undergraduate Studies. The UPD shall work with the Graduate Program Director to coordinate teaching assignments needed for both the graduate and undergraduate programs. He or she is responsible for constructing enrollment projections, and related statistics as required by the department, College, or University. Each campus shall have its own UPD.
1.6. Graduate Program Director. The Department Chairperson shall appoint a Graduate Program Director (GPD) from among the graduate faculty in the department. The GPD coordinates the graduate program, and acts as chair of the Graduate Admission and Policy Committee (GAPC; see below). With the help of the Graduate Program Specialist, the GPD assigns teaching assistants to courses each semester, and coordinates recruitment efforts for graduate students, including annual recruitment events. They also organize graduate student orientation. The GPD serves as a mediator between graduate students and the Major Professor, and between teaching assistants and course instructors when requested to do so by either party. As needed, the GPD is responsible for providing data and creating reports to the department, College, University, and funding agencies.
1.7. Graduate Program Director for Conservation Biology. The Department Chairperson and the St. Petersburg Campus Chair shall appoint a Graduate Program Director (GPD-CB) from among the graduate faculty at the St. Petersburg campus. The GPD-CB coordinates the graduate program in Conservation Biology, and acts as chair of the Graduate Admission and Policy Committee for this program (GAPC-CB; see below). The GPD-CB assigns teaching assistants to courses each semester, and coordinates recruitment efforts for graduate students. The GPD-CB also organizes graduate student orientation. The GPD-CB serves as a mediator between graduate students and the Major Professor, and between teaching assistants and course instructors when requested to do so by either party. As needed, the GPD-CB is responsible for providing data and creating reports to the department, College, University, and funding agencies.

## 2. Membership in The Department

2.1. Regular Faculty consists of individuals on tenure-earning or tenured lines (Assistant Professors, Associate Professors, and Professors) and Assistant Professors of Instruction, Associate Professors of Instruction and Professors of Instruction (Formerly Instructors I, II, and III). They are voting members of the IB department.
2.2. Adjunct Faculty and Visiting Instructors may be appointed when required for teaching responsibilities for a limited period of time. Adjunct Faculty are typically part-time and are appointed on a semester-by-semester basis, while Visiting Instructors are generally full-time, and are appointed for one or more years. They are non-voting members of the department (see Table 1.1).
2.3. Emeritus Faculty are appointed by the Provost following the application process set forward by the University. Any nomination letter addressed to the Provost's Office by the Department Chairperson shall include the results of a vote by the Regular Faculty. Emeritus Faculty may serve as graduate student committee members, or as co-major professors (coadvisors) together with IB tenure-earning or tenured Faculty but may not serve as the sole major professor (advisor) to IB graduate students. Other than participating in graduate student committees, Emeritus Faculty may not vote on departmental business but may serve as exofficio members of departmental committees.
2.4. Courtesy Faculty (5-year, renewable associates) will be approved by the Department Chairperson. This affiliation can be terminated by the Department Chairperson. They are nonvoting members of the department.
2.5. Graduate Faculty are defined as tenure-track or tenured faculty appointed at the Assistant, Associate, or Professor rank, who hold a terminal degree or equivalent in their discipline. Graduate Faculty members are eligible to teach graduate courses and may direct and serve on masters, specialist, and doctoral level committees.
2.6. Affiliate Graduate Faculty status may be granted by the Office of Graduate Studies Dean/Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or designee) to individuals whose skills or expertise meet criteria established by the College. Affiliate Graduate Faculty membership is in effect for a specified period of time and specific purposes. Affiliate members may be eligible to serve on masters, specialist, and doctoral level committees, to direct master's and specialist's level committees, and to co-direct doctoral level committees, at the discretion of the IB Graduate Admissions and Policy Committee and the College (see 3.1). Affiliate Graduate Faculty can only serve as the Instructor of Record when they have a terminal degree in the discipline and are approved to teach graduate courses in that field. Emeritus Professors and retired or recently resigned professors may also be appointed as Affiliate Graduate Faculty with the approval of the College and Office of Graduate Studies Dean/Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs (or designee).

## 3. Graduate Supervisory Committees and Teaching of Graduate Courses

3.1. Composition of Graduate Supervisory Committees. MS and PhD supervisory committees will consist of at least three (MS) or four (PhD) members who are Graduate Faculty or Affiliate Graduate Faculty. Normally, the majority of committee members must be Graduate Faculty from within IB, and additional members must be Graduate Faculty or Affiliate Graduate Faculty. One member of PhD committees must be from outside IB. In order to match expertise to the student's thesis topic, it may be helpful for a thesis committee to have a higher proportion of members from outside IB. When this is the case, the graduate student and the chair of their committee shall submit a rationale for their choices of committee members to the Graduate Admissions and Policy Committee for evaluation and approval. In order to become Affiliate Graduate Faculty, potential committee members will be vetted by the Graduate Admissions and Policy Committee. The chair of the graduate student's committee shall submit the potential committee member's CV , as well as rationale for their nomination. This rationale must describe how the potential committee member's expertise will be helpful to the graduate student's committee. The Graduate Admissions and Policy Committee will vote on the application for Affiliate Graduate Faculty status, based on the candidate's qualifications and contributions to the field (e.g., publications or other products). When the individual is no longer active on a graduate committee, their association will be terminated.
3.2. Supervisory Committee Chair. To chair a graduate supervisory committee, a Graduate Faculty member must engage in current and sustained scholarly or research activities, resulting in publications, grants or other research products. The chair of any graduate supervisory committee shall be a member of the USF Integrative Biology Department.
3.3. Teaching of Graduate Courses is to be done by Graduate Faculty and Affiliate Graduate Faculty with a terminal degree in their field who have been approved to teach courses in their field by the Department Chairperson.

## 4. Graduate Student Representatives

4.1. Faculty meeting attendance. Departmental faculty meetings can be attended by an IB graduate student representative elected by the graduate students. Elections for the representative shall occur annually. The graduate student representative is not permitted to vote but is encouraged to participate in all discussions except those concerning personnel matters (e.g., tenure and promotion decisions of the Regular Faculty). The graduate student representative or any graduate students in attendance will be asked to leave meetings when personnel matters are discussed.
4.2. Departmental committee participation. Department committee meetings can be attended by a graduate student representative. A graduate student representative is also encouraged and welcome to become a participating member of committees with the exception of those involving personnel matters (e.g., tenure and promotion decisions of the Regular Faculty). The graduate student representative shall be a non-voting member of such committees. The graduate student appointment and membership responsibilities are determined at the discretion of the committee chair; however, any graduate student member should attend regularly scheduled committee meetings.
4.3. Search committee participation. Inclusion of a graduate student member is encouraged on search committees for faculty hires. A graduate student representative is also encouraged to report to the faculty with respect to the graduate perspective on potential faculty hires. The graduate student member shall be a non-voting member of the search committee. Beyond attending meetings of the search committee, the responsibilities of the graduate student representative are determined by the search committee chair.

## 5. Departmental Meetings

5.1. General information. The Department Chairperson shall preside at the Departmental meetings. Meetings of the Regular Faculty shall be held at least once each academic semester (excluding the summer semesters). Additional meetings shall be called by the Chairperson as necessary or at the written request of one-third of the Regular Faculty.
5.2. Agendas and scheduling. Regular Faculty are expected to attend faculty meetings in person or remotely. Meetings shall be scheduled to maximize the number of faculty from all campuses who can attend, and provisions for remote attendance shall be made. An agenda and reminder shall be emailed to the faculty at least 24 hours before the meetings. Any faculty member may request that an item(s) be placed on the agenda. Collegial behavior is expected at all times in faculty meetings.
5.3. Quorums. A simple majority of the Regular Faculty in residence shall constitute a quorum. Regular Faculty on sabbatical, leave of absence, or appointed to administrative positions outside
the Department of Integrative Biology are not considered to be in residence. If the Regular Faculty on sabbatical or leave of absence chooses to attend, their vote shall be counted.
5.4. Minutes will be taken of the meetings and made available to the Regular Faculty.

## 6. Voting Procedures

6.1. General procedures. Votes shall be decided by a majority of the Regular Faculty present and voting, with abstentions included in the count of votes cast. Attendance may be in person or remotely. Continuing Instructors have the same voting rights as their tenure-line equivalents for all issues other than tenure and promotion of tenure-track faculty (as defined by University procedures and the Collective Bargaining Agreement). Those present and voting must constitute a quorum. Votes shall be by secret ballot upon the request of any Regular Faculty member. Ballots completed and sent in advance of an election by a voter who is unable to be present at the time of the vote (i.e., absentee ballots), and proxy ballots are not acceptable. Accommodations for submitting ballots shall be made for remote attendees. Votes from faculty who attend meetings and discussions remotely are not considered to be absentee and therefore are acceptable.
6.2. Votes on faculty hires must be announced at least a week in advance. All voting for faculty hires shall be by secret ballot. Initially, the acceptability of each candidate for a faculty hire shall be determined individually by majority vote of the Regular Faculty. That is, the voting faculty will vote each candidate acceptable or unacceptable individually, and acceptance or rejection of each candidate shall be determined by simple majority. All candidates voted as acceptable will then be placed on the ballot. The Regular Faculty shall elect one of these candidates as first rank by majority vote. If no majority is produced by a first round of voting, the candidate with the fewest votes is temporarily removed from the ballot, discussion is resumed, and another round of voting occurs. This process, with removal of the candidate with the fewest votes at each round, continues until one candidate has a simple majority; this candidate shall be ranked first. Subsequently, all remaining candidates are placed back on the ballot, and one of these candidates is elected as second rank, again by majority vote, using multiple voting rounds (with lowest candidate removed after each round) if necessary. This process of populating a ballot with remaining candidates and electing one via majority vote (with multiple voting rounds if necessary) to each subsequent rank (third, fourth, etc.) shall continue until all candidates are elected to a rank. Voting on faculty hires shall be only by those present at the meeting, including virtual presence. For cases in which a single person is being considered-such as for opportunity hires or affiliation of faculty already employed at USF - the procedure shall be the same as for the vote on whether an ordinary candidate is acceptable or unacceptable.
6.3. Faculty Advisory Committee elections. The Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC) shall be composed of six individuals, at least two of which must be from the branch campuses. elected to a three-year term by Regular Faculty. Regular Faculty are eligible to serve on the FAC with the
exception of individuals who have an administrative assignment, e.g. Campus Chair, Associate Chair, Graduate Program Director, Undergraduate Program Director.

Terms will end at the beginning of each Fall semester, and elections for vacant seats will be held within two weeks of the beginning of classes. In the event of an unexpected vacancy, the Departmental Chairperson will organize an election within one month (summer sessions excepted). The election will consist of two ballots. The first ballot will contain the names of all eligible faculty, which are Regular Faculty other than those with an administrative appointment (e.g. the Chairperson, Associate Chair, Graduate Program Director, Campus Chair/Associate Chair), committee members whose term is ending and anyone who will be on leave for a semester or more during the forthcoming academic year). This first ballot is a nomination ballot and voters will be instructed to vote for as many names as there are vacant positions on the committee. The number of nominees put on a second (election) ballot will consist of the number of vacancies on the committee, plus two (for example, if there are three vacancies, the top five vote getters on the nomination ballot will be placed on the election ballot).

Voters will vote for as many names on the nomination ballot as there are vacant positions on the committee. A number of top vote getters on the nomination ballot equal to the number of FAC vacancies plus two will be placed on a second ballot (hereafter, the election ballot). For example, if there are two vacancies, the top four vote getters on the nomination ballot will be placed on the election ballot. Each FAC vacancy will then be filled from among names on the election ballot through a separate majority-rule election. This election will, if necessary, use sequential rounds of voting, with the lowest vote getter removed from the ballot after each round, until a nominee receives a majority (over $50 \%$ ) of votes cast. That nominee will be appointed to the FAC.

In the event of additional FAC vacancies (e.g., if there are two vacancies to fill at the same time), all nominees from the initial election ballot (other than the newly elected FAC member), will be placed back on the ballot to fill the next vacancy. Ties (e.g., to remove a name from a ballot or to select between two final nominees in the last round of sequential voting) will be broken by coin toss. The entire election procedure (both ballots and filling of all vacant seats) will occur within a week's time and the ballots will be counted by the office manager or an alternate appointed by the Department Chairperson, who will report the results to the Department Chairperson. Electronic mail or other forms of electronic voting are permitted for this election, but proxy voting is not permitted, and late ballots will not be counted.

In the event that a majority of FAC positions become vacant at the same time, the Department Chairperson may ask one vacating member to remain on the committee and serve a fourth year, thereby providing the committee with continuity and experience. In this case, only enough positions to bring FAC membership to six would be filled at that time. The entire election will occur within a week's time and the ballots will be counted by the office manager, who will report the results to the Department Chairperson. Electronic mail or other forms of electronic voting are permitted for this election, but proxy voting is not permitted, and late ballots will not be counted.

## 7. Departmental Committees

7.1. Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC) shall provide advice to the Department Chairperson on behalf of the faculty and represent the faculty during meetings with the Department Chairperson. It will establish and distribute to the Regular Faculty criteria for reviewing and evaluating the activities of the Regular Faculty. This committee is responsible for evaluating all faculty, including the Department Chairperson, and making recommendations for awards and honors to Regular Faculty. It also provides a review of tenure and promotion applications. FAC procedures will comply with UFF Collective Bargaining Agreement guidelines at all times (see Article 10.3A2). The Faculty Advisory Committee shall consist of six elected members from the Regular Faculty, which are to be elected for a three-year term on a staggered basis. Two members of the FAC must be from the St Petersburg Campus. Representation from the Sarasota-Manatee campus will occur when this campus hires at least two Regular Faculty members. Procedures for the election of the FAC are given above, in Section 6.3. The Department Chairperson shall choose the FAC's Chairperson.
7.2. Appointed standing committees. Members of the following standing committees will serve for a period of two years.
7.2.1. Graduate Admissions and Policy Committee (GAPC, IB) will develop and administer criteria for 1 ) admitting graduate students, 2) awarding teaching assistantships, 3) overseeing graduate student progress including annual reports, and 4) developing policy and curricula for graduate students. The committee will solicit recommendations from appropriate Regular Faculty in carrying out their functions. This committee will make recommendations on policy to the Graduate Faculty (as defined above). The GAPC will also evaluate Regular Faculty, as well as Affiliated Faculty proposed as members of graduate committees, for Graduate Faculty Status. This committee is also responsible for recommending persons for Affiliate Graduate Faculty status to the Graduate Program Director and Department Chairperson. The Graduate Program Director serves as the GAPC Chairperson. This committee shall have four members who are Graduate Faculty in addition to the Graduate Program Director, at least one of whom must be homed at the St. Petersburg or SarasotaManatee campus. The Graduate Program Director shall appoint the other members in consultation with the Department Chairperson
7.2.2. Graduate Admissions and Policy Committee for Conservation Biology (GAPCCB) will develop and administer criteria for 1) admitting graduate students to the Conservation Biology program, 2) awarding teaching assistantships, 3) overseeing graduate student progress including annual reports, and 4) developing policy and curricula for Conservation Biology graduate students. The committee will solicit recommendations from appropriate Graduate Faculty in carrying out their functions. This committee will make recommendations on policy to the Graduate Faculty (as defined above). The GAPC-CB will also evaluate Regular Faculty, as well as Affiliated Faculty proposed as members of graduate
committees, for Graduate Faculty Status. This committee is also responsible for recommending persons for Affiliate Graduate Faculty status to the Graduate Coordinator (CB), Graduate Coordinator (Tampa), and Department Chairperson. The Graduate Program Director for Conservation Biology serves as the GAPC-CB Chairperson. This committee shall have four members who are Graduate Faculty in addition to the Graduate Program Director for Conservation Biology, at least one of whom must be homed at the Tampa or Sarasota-Manatee campus. The Graduate Program Director for Conservation Biology shall appoint the other members in consultation with the St. Petersburg Campus Chair.
7.2.3. Undergraduate Curriculum Committee develops and reviews undergraduate curricula, including concentrations and courses. It also prepares and communicates information to College advisors (BioAdvisors) about the undergraduate program as necessary. The Undergraduate Program Director serves as the Chairperson of the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee. This committee will be composed of at least six members of the Regular Faculty (four from Tampa and two from St Petersburg; one member may be added when Sarasota-Manatee has at least two Regular Faculty) appointed by the Department Chairperson, and will report to the Department Chairperson and the faculty. The Marine Biology Working Group coordinates the Marine Biology curriculum among campuses. The Marine Biology Working Group is appointed by the Department Chairperson, and shall report to the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee.
7.2.5. Seminar Committee is responsible for organizing, planning, coordinating, and promoting weekly seminars throughout the academic year. Tasks include identifying and inviting potential speakers, encouraging Regular Faculty and graduate students to invite speakers, encouraging exit seminars by graduate students, making weekly announcements of seminars or cancellations, maintaining and updating the seminar-schedule webpage, and coordinating the seminar room and presentation equipment. The seminar committee is responsible for allotting preferred dates and times for required seminars (e.g., tenure and promotion seminars) at the request of the Department Chairperson. The Chairperson and members of the seminar committee are selected from Regular Faculty and are appointed by the Department Chairperson. The Department Chairperson communicates the seminar budget to the committee. Regular Faculty and graduate students inviting speakers are responsible for completing TAR forms, supervising the travel and reimbursement of speakers, developing an itinerary of activities for the speaker, introducing the speaker at the seminar, and coordinating questions and discussion after the seminar.
7.2.6. Peer Teaching Evaluation and Mentoring Committee is responsible for appointing evaluators to conduct peer teaching evaluations, a process described in Peer Teaching Reviews. This committee is also responsible for presiding over the evaluation process by providing evaluators with appropriate forms and rubrics, and by communicating with the evaluators and evaluated faculty members to ensure that the evaluation takes place. Finally,
this committee is responsible for collecting completed evaluations and making them available to the Faculty Advisory Committee and Department Chairperson so those entities can consider the peer teaching evaluations in preparing annual performance evaluations. The Chairperson of this committee is appointed by the Department Chairperson, and shall consult with the Department Chairperson in selecting other committee members.
7.2.7. Antiracism and Equity Committee (AREC) addresses systemic racism and inequities in access to science by underserved groups in the Department of Integrative Biology. AREC shall, on an annual basis, assess the degree to which IB students, staff and faculty reflect the population of Florida and the US. Based on this information, AREC shall advocate for mechanisms to improve representation/retention of underserved populations at all levels (graduate and undergraduate students, staff, faculty). AREC shall also advocate for mechanisms to improve representation of underserved populations in our curricula, including the teaching of historic disenfranchisement and harm in our field by the dominant group to underserved populations. Further, AREC shall identify training opportunities and other resources for faculty, students, and staff to address systemic racism and inequity. AREC shall be composed of at least three members of the Regular Faculty (representing multiple USF campuses), two graduate students, and a staff representative appointed by the Department Chairperson, and could grow to include other populations as needed. The AREC chair shall be appointed by the members of the committee. Members shall serve three-year terms. AREC shall provide reports to the Department Chairperson and the faculty.
7.3. Ad Hoc Committees. The Department Chairperson shall appoint ad hoc committees as necessary to carry out specific assignments.
7.3.1. Search Committees. Search committee Chairpersons are appointed by the Department Chairperson, in consultation with the Campus Chair (St Petersburg) or Campus Associate Chair (Sarasota Manatee). They work together to appoint other members from the Regular Faculty to create search committees which have representation from all campuses, with a majority of members from the home campus for the prospective hire. All search committee members must be approved by the Dean's Office as part of EEO requirements for diversity. Regional Chancellors or their designee will serve as a voting member on all search committees for faculty hiring on branch campuses per USF Consolidation procedures.

## 8. Teaching Assignments

8.1. Annual Teaching Assignments. Faculty members consult with the Undergraduate Program Director and the Department Chairperson on the Tampa campus to determine annual teaching assignments. Faculty members at the St. Petersburg and Sarasota Manatee campuses consult with the Campus Chairperson/Associate Chairperson and Department Chairperson to determine annual teaching assignments. Teaching assignments will be balanced across the faculty in terms of level of effort, as indicated by enrollment, new course preps, and supervision of TAs.

Sabbaticals, leaves of absence, and course releases will not be considered in the accounting of the long-term effort.
8.2. Summer Teaching Policy and Assignments. The IB Department may offer summer teaching appointments based on the needs of the College and Department. The availability of such appointments shall be determined by the Department Chairperson on the Tampa campus. The Campus Chair/Associate Chair performs these tasks in consultation with the Department Chairperson at the St. Petersburg and Sarasota Manatee campuses. Typically, large classes that are curriculum-critical (e.g., a requirement for graduation, or pre-requisite for other courses) will be offered. Expertise in the subject matter, and the quality of the teaching record, will be considered in assigning courses.

## 9. Peer Teaching Reviews

9.1. Purpose. Peer review of teaching provides a mechanism to mentor junior faculty and identify areas of strength and weakness that may be important in future promotion and tenure. This process also provides a measure of teaching effectiveness that can be used during the annual review process in addition to student evaluations. Each calendar year, Regular Faculty at the Assistant Professor level, Assistant Professors of Instruction, and other faculty upon their request, will be evaluated. The task of review will be shared among Integrative Biology faculty. Two Regular Faculty at any rank shall conduct each evaluation. The evaluators/reviewers will be appointed by the IB Department Peer Teaching Evaluation and Mentoring Committee, such that reviewees will not review their own reviewers.
9.2. Procedures. The faculty member under review, and reviewers, must all agree to the class periods to be evaluated. In addition to evaluating the in-class content and performance of the faculty member, reviewers should also assess the course syllabus and sample assignments including exams provided by the reviewee. The two evaluators will prepare one report, using the format specified by the Peer Teaching Evaluation and Mentoring Committee, which summarizes their combined assessment of the teaching efficacy, syllabus, course content, and level of difficulty of the course. The reviewers will sign the report and submit it to the Peer Teaching Evaluation and Mentoring Committee chair, have the reviewee sign the evaluation and forward it to the Department Chairperson and Office Manager. A copy will be provided to the reviewee, who may add it to their as file for use in the annual evaluation process. The evaluations will be made available to the Faculty Advisory Committee for tenure and promotion decisions.

## 10. Annual Performance Evaluations

10.1. Purpose. The performance of members of the Regular Faculty will be evaluated annually, on a calendar year basis (i.e., January 1 to December 31). These ratings will be used as feedback to the Regular Faculty and for other purposes, such as the allocation of resources and merit
raises. These ratings will also serve as the baseline for the evaluation ratings reported on the USF annual evaluation forms. Though the criteria for annual performance evaluations reflect those used for promotion, evaluation for promotion is cumulative, and candidates for tenure or promotion should consult the USF Department of Integrative Biology Guidelines for Tenure and Promotion for those criteria.
10.2. Procedures. Each year Regular Faculty will prepare his/her faculty evaluation documents in accordance the United Faculty of Florida Collective Bargaining Agreement, using the online tool provided by USF. The Faculty Advisory Committee (FAC) will review the documents and prepare an evaluation, including narrative statements, to the Department Chairperson. To avoid conflicts of interest, no faculty member may evaluate a member of their family. Evaluation is based proportionally on the faculty member contractual duties for the preceding year, and may include the following categories: teaching, research and scholarly activity, service, and administration. An "Other" category may be used to capture activities such as sabbaticals. The Department Chairperson will consult with the Campus Chair/Associate Chair for evaluations of branch campus faculty when questions about matters such as resources, assignments, and classes arise. Evaluation scores and narratives by both the FAC and the Department Chairperson are forwarded to the Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences. Regional Chancellors or their designee may provide formal written input prior to a College Dean completing the performance appraisal. Timelines for the evaluation process are based upon those stipulated and provided by the College of Arts and Sciences. Internal timelines for timely completion of the evaluations by the Faculty Advisory Committee shall be stipulated by the Department Chairperson.
10.3. Transparency and Faculty Responses. Members of the Regular Faculty will have the opportunity to read their evaluations at any step of the process and append comments if so desired. All members being evaluated must indicate they have read the evaluation by signing the document prior to it being forwarded to the College, although signing does not necessarily imply agreement with the evaluation.

### 10.4. Assessment of Quality of Efforts in Research, Teaching, and Service

10.4.1. Scoring of Research, Teaching, and Service. Research, Teaching, and Service will each be scored separately on a scale of 1 to 5 (Outstanding 5, Strong 4, Satisfactory 3, Unsatisfactory 2, Weak 1, with fractions thereof in each category permitted). The expectations described below are those for faculty with an effort assignment of $55 \%$ teaching, $40 \%$ research, and $5 \%$ service. Expectations will be adjusted based on faculty rank, effort assignments, and resources available to the faculty (e.g., startup, lab space, PhD students). Exceptional performance in any of the faculty member's activities will warrant consideration by the Faculty Advisory Committee for elevating the score. It is incumbent upon each faculty member to provide accurate and complete information about their activities for the year, using the guidance provided by the Department Chairperson. The FAC may ask for specific
clarification from a faculty member, but is not required to make extensive efforts to amend an incomplete report from a faculty member.
10.4.2. Research and Scholarship. Evaluation of Research will be based on three categories of research products including, but not limited to:

1. Peer-reviewed research products, including papers, monographs, books, and book chapters, that have been accepted for publication or published in peer-reviewed journals and other appropriate refereed research outlets. Other peer-reviewed research products (e.g., technical reports, software, and patents) will also be considered.
2. Acquisition or continuation of external funding to support the research program.
3. Presentations at national and international conferences, invited seminars and talks, or research-related awards. This can also include presentations by graduate students or postdocs supported by the faculty member

A score of 5 in research and scholarship indicates that the year included all three categories of products described above. It is expected that faculty will generate two or more peerreviewed research products, or one peer-reviewed research product with high likelihood of broad significance (e.g., paper with particularly noteworthy methodological or intellectual advancement; a paper in a high-impact journal; software or patent of a product likely to be widely used).

A score of 4 in research and scholarship indicates that the year included two categories of products described above.

A score of 3 in research and scholarship indicates that the year included only one category of product, either peer-reviewed research products or acquisition or continuation of funding.

A score of 2 indicates that the year included only presentations, seminars, or awards.
A score of 1 indicates that none of the three categories of products described above were included in the year.

Half scores (e.g., 3.5, 4.5) will be considered when products are described that earn a score of 3 or 4 , and evidence is provided for efforts to meet expectations for products, funding, or presentations/awards (e.g., proposals submitted/internal award acquisition, publications submitted, presentations at regional conferences). Half scores will also be considered when products are described that earn a score of 3 or 4 , but categories in these products exceed expectations.

New faculty who are reporting a single semester's activities will be evaluated based on their progress setting up their laboratory, efforts to obtain funding, and any manuscripts they have submitted or published after arrival at USF, listing affiliation with USF.
10.4.3. Teaching. Evaluation of Teaching will be based on the following categories of activities undertaken during Fall and Spring semesters, including but not limited to:

1. Teaching formal undergraduate and graduate classes and laboratories, with favorable peer and/or student evaluations. Student evaluations will be considered in light of factors that have been demonstrated to have negative impacts that are independent of teaching skills and course content (e.g., class size, instructor race, ethnicity, gender identity or sexual identity).
2. Attending or leading workshops or conferences on best teaching practices (at USF or outside USF)
3. Developing a new class, or substantially improving an existing class to match best practices for learning outcomes.
4. Sustaining teaching approaches and content updates that are consistent with best pedagogical practices.
5. Supervising research by post-docs, graduate and undergraduate students, and service on thesis and dissertation committees.
6. Supervising teaching assistants.
7. Teaching-related awards.
8. Teaching large enrollment classes, multiple distinct courses or labs, or developing study-abroad courses.

A score of 5 in Teaching indicates that the year included activities from three categories described above.

A score of 4 in Teaching indicates that the year included activities from two categories described above.

A score of 3 in Teaching indicates that the year included activities from one category described above.

A score of 2 in Teaching indicates that the year included activities from one category described above, and poor peer teaching evaluations.

A score of 1 in Teaching indicates serious issues with fulfilling teaching responsibilities, e.g., lack of attendance, lack of communication with students and/or peers, lack of grade submission.

Half scores (e.g., 3.5, 4.5) will be considered when activities are described that earn a score of 3 or 4 , and evidence is provided for efforts to meet expectations for a third activity (e.g., plans to improve course material in response to evaluations, efforts to recruit graduate and/or
undergraduate researchers). Half scores will also be considered when activities are described that earn a score of 3 or 4 , but activities exceed expectations.
New faculty who are reporting a single semester's activities will be evaluated based on any peer or student evaluations of the classes they taught during that semester. If their first semester did not include teaching a structured class, their activities will be evaluated based on their progress preparing materials for the structured classes they will be teaching, mentoring activities, or participating in professional development focused on teaching.
10.4.4. Service. Evaluation of Service will be based on activities including but not limited to the following four categories:

1. Activities in department, college, and university-level committees.
2. Service to the students, including mentorship of clubs, letters of recommendation.
3. Service to the scientific and professional community, including but not limited to manuscript and proposal peer-review, journal editorships, leadership in professional organizations, organization of symposia/meetings.
4. Service to the community at large, including but not limited to outreach activities such as workshops for K-12 teachers, judging science fairs, and presentations to community members.

A score of 5 in service indicates that the year included activities from three categories described above.

A score of 4 in service indicates that the year included activities from two of the categories described above.

A score of 3 in service indicates that the year included activities from one of the categories described above.

A score of 2 in service indicates that the year included activities from one of the categories described above, but this service was compromised by lack of participation and attendance.

A score of 1 indicates a complete refusal of service for the department.
A boost in score will be considered for service activities with a particularly substantial time commitment (e.g., chairing a search committee, a leadership position in community outreach)

New faculty who are reporting a single semester's activities are anticipated to have been involved in a department committee, and potentially in service to the scientific and professional community, and will be evaluated based on these activities.
10.5. Information to provide for annual evaluation to assist in the assessment of quality of Efforts in Research, Teaching, and Service

Suggested items to provide for annual evaluation are listed below, acknowledging that it is not anticipated that any individual will be able to accomplish all of the activities listed. The objective in providing this list is to ensure that the faculty are credited with all activities they have undertaken in Research, Teaching, and Service.

### 10.5.1. Research and Scholarship.

1. Papers, monographs, books, book chapters. Software, technical reports, and patents can also be included.

Both quantity and quality will be considered in the Annual Evaluation. Faculty should provide information about the relative stature of the research outlets used within the scientific community; and any additional - often informal - indicators of quality, such as impact factor of journals, robustness of the peer-review process, recognition by the journal of predicted impact (e.g., "Editor's Choice" or other designation). For software, technical reports, and patents, a description of the potential impact should be provided.
Role in publications will also be considered. The faculty member's role for each publication should be indicated, e.g., type of authorship, and/or the CRediT System for author contributions.

For new faculty, only papers, monographs, books, book chapters, and technical reports with affiliation listed as USF will be considered for the annual evaluation.
2. Proposals and Grants. The faculty member's contribution to the proposal (e.g., PI, Co-PI, Subcontract; role in proposal writing and research undertaken), and allocation of the grant funds to the faculty member, should be indicated.
3. Presentations. The nature of the presentation should be indicated (e.g., invited/contributed, role of the faculty member, e.g., presenter, collaborator).
4. Research-related awards. A description of the nature and stature of the award should be indicated.

### 10.5.2. Teaching.

1. Teaching formal undergraduate and graduate classes and laboratories. Evaluation of quality includes consideration of the factors listed below, and faculty could provide documentation relevant to these factors to facilitate evaluation:

- Student course evaluations, including the percent of students providing evaluations, class size, summary of comments, and faculty response when helpful to considering these evaluations and comments.
- Peer faculty teaching evaluations and faculty response.
- Plans for correction for classes with low student and peer evaluations.
- Efforts to update curricula.
- Efforts to improve classes with best practices.
- Development of new curricula.

2. Research supervision. Evaluation of quality includes consideration of the factors listed below, and faculty should provide documentation relevant to these factors:

- Mentoring of undergraduate students. Faculty should provide a list of undergraduate students assisting with research projects, and any publications or presentations for which these students are authors.
- Mentoring graduate students and post-doctoral scholars. Faculty should provide a list of graduate students and post-doctoral scholars for whom they are acting as thesis or dissertation chair, and any publications or presentations for which these personnel are authors. Assessment of mentoring quality will include assessment of progress of graduate students toward their degree (e.g., taking qualifying exam, successful thesis or dissertation defense, publications and presentations) and progress of post-doctoral scholars toward career goals (e.g., publications and presentations).
- Service on thesis and dissertation committees. Faculty should provide a list of graduate students for whom they are acting as (non-chair) committee members.


### 10.5.3. Service.

1. Activities in department, college, and university-level committees should be listed/described, as well as the role of the faculty member on these committees (e.g., chair, member).
2. Service to the scientific and professional community should be listed/described. These activities include but are not limited to peer review of manuscripts and proposals, membership in proposal panels, activities as an editor for a journal, membership and/or leadership in professional organizations, organization of meetings or symposia.
3. Service to the community at large should be listed/described. These activities include but are not limited to outreach activities and partnerships.

## 11. Promotion and Tenure Procedures

Promotion and tenure procedures are set out in the Department of Integrative Biology Tenure and Promotion document.

## 12. Academic Dishonesty and Behavioral Misconduct by Students

The Department of Integrative Biology adheres to all academic policies of the University of South Florida and the College of Arts and Sciences.

## 13. Elections For Faculty Senate Representatives

Regular Faculty who are interested in filling open Faculty Senate seats may self-nominate to the Department Chair. If more than one faculty members self-nominates, the Regular Faculty shall elect a representative by simple majority vote. The Department Chairperson will not vote unless their vote is needed to break a tie.

## 14. Amendment Procedures

This Governance Document can be amended by the Regular Faculty. Proposed amendments shall be submitted to the Regular Faculty, discussed at a meeting of the Regular Faculty, and voted upon at a subsequent meeting of the Regular Faculty. Passage of any amendment shall require a simple majority of those eligible to vote. Absentee ballots must be clearly stated and delivered to the Department Chairperson prior to the vote. All amendments must be approved by the Dean and the Provost.

