The Effectiveness of Stress Balls on Fidgeting Behavior Kelli Crispo, Kimberly Crosland, Ph.D., Asha Fuller, MS

INTRODUCTION

Fidgeting Behavior: nail-biting, hair-twirling, leg-bouncing, finger-tapping, and others.

- Distracting in academic settings¹
- Fidgeting can turn into harmful nonsuicidal self injury (NSSI)²
- Social disadvantages include lower ratings of likeability³ and acceptability⁴

Fidget toys such as stress balls or fidget spinners have been used to combat fidgeting. However, these toys, themselves, are distracting and research lacks empirical evidence to support these claims.

This study tests a novel and discrete stress ball technology embedded in a sweatshirt sleeve cuff to evaluate if squeezing will reduce fidgeting behavior among college students.


The stress balls will be concealed in the cuff of a sweatshirt to decrease the likelihood of stigma or ridicule from peers.



For more information, please scan this QR code.

For questions, contact the primary researcher at crispo@usf.edu

Can Squeezing a Stress Ball Reduce Fidgeting Behavior?



Result Predictions






Baseline: No stress ball






Data Collection



Feelings Survey

On a scale of 1-5 (1= not at all, 5= extremely), rate how strongly you felt each emotion during today's lecture.





Intervention: Stress ball



METHODS

Using a multiple baseline across participant design, college students will be observed over 5-8 weeks to analyze their fidgeting behavior with and without a stress ball - Observations are over 10-minutes and record the duration of fidgeting

- Baseline: Participants will wear a crewneck without a stress ball.
- Intervention: Participants will wear a crewneck with a stress ball
- A feelings survey will assess how students feel in both conditions

PREDICTED RESULTS

- Fidgeting duration will decrease when using the stress ball (intervention condition) relative to the baseline condition.
- Feeling survey means should be higher in the baseline than the intervention condition

REFERENCES

1. Gligorić, N., Uzelac, A., & Krco, S. (2012). Smart classroom: Real-time feedback on lecture quality. 2012 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops, 391-394.

2. Ghanizadeh, A. (2011). Nail biting; etiology, consequences and management. Iranian Journal of Medical Sciences, 36(2), 73–79.

3. Friman, P. C., McPherson, K. M., Warzak, W. J., & Evans, J. (1993). Influence of thumb sucking on peer social acceptance in first-grade children. *Pediatrics*, 91(4), 784–786.

4. Boudjouk, P., Woods, D., Miltenberger, R., & Long, E. (2000). Negative peer evaluation in adolescents: Effects of tic disorders and trichotillomania. *Child and Family Behavior Therapy*, 22(1), 17–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1300/J019v22n01_02</u>

