UNIVERSITY of SOUTH FLORIDA

INTRODUCTION

- Findings from workplace safety research indicate substantial focus on safety climate and less focus on occupational risk factors.
- An integrated approach using machine learning techniques and job analysis information enables novel perspectives on person- and situationbased antecedents to occupation-level safety outcomes.
- RQs: What are the work, worker, and work context features that are most important in predicting safety outcomes? What are their relative contributions?

METHOD

- O*NET job descriptor ratings from job incumbents, analysts to predict nonfatal occupational injury rates published by BLS.
- N = 376 data points with a total of 246 predictors from three O*NET domains.
- Three ML models: elastic net (E-Net), random forest (RF), gradient boosting (GB) developed and analyzed using sci-kit learn package in Python v3.7.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

- 44 unique (18 worker characteristics, 16 work context features, 10 work features) and 12 common predictors across models explained 54.2–58.8% of the variance in accident rates.
- Findings are in line with prior research using traditional statistical methods.
- Suggested exploratory approach helps uncover understudied predictors of accidents such as worker personality, interpersonal dynamics, job working conditions, etc.
- Findings support use of ML techniques to extend findings from traditional statistical methods.
- Identification of critical safety antecedents helps recognize high risk jobs and aids accident prevention through incorporation in safety assessments and training interventions.

Using Machine Learning to Predict Occupational Accident Rates from O*NET Data

Kumar, L.S.¹ & Burns, G.N.² ¹University of South Florida ²Florida Institute of Technology

Advanced machine learning techniques can be used to predict occupational safety outcomes and help uncover understudied predictors.

Machine Learning Model	Elastic Net	Random Forest	Gradient Boosting
# Selected predictors	35	11	17
MSE (Training/Test set)	0.55/0.61	0.14/0.63	0.08/0.68
R ² (Training/Test set)	.679/.588	.916/.570	.955/.542

O*NET Descriptor Category	# Total predictors	# Selected predictors	% Selected predictors
Abilities	52	8	15
Knowledge	33	4	12
Skills	35	3	9
Occupational Interests	6	1	17
Work Values	6	1	17
Work Styles	16	1	6
Generalized Work Activities	41	10	24
Work Context	57	16	28



4.....

Variable

AB_4 WC_ GWA_29 AB_43 IN WC_16 WC_3 SK_32 GWA_35 WC_39 WV KNW_20 AB_12 KNW 9 WC_20 WC_32 WC_30 WC_49 WC_19 SK_24 WC_44 GWA_25 WC_27 WC_57 GWA_38 SK_22 KNW_16 GWA_16 AB_15 WS_ GWA_31 WC_ WC_4 GWA_2 AB

Table 2. Common Predictors from Three Models

Variable	O*NET Descriptor	E-Net (β)	E-Net FI (%)		GB FI (%)
AB_45	Static Strength	0.221	7.26	3.21	3.55
GWA_29	Performing General Physical Activities	0.121	6.59	28.83	24.94
AB_43	Speed of Limb Movement	0.117	6.26	3.41	3.13
WC_6	Deal With Physically Aggressive People	0.168	4.40	1.34	2.51
WC_39	Responsible for Others' Health and Safety	0.073	4.34	-	2.73
SK_22	Programming	-0.019	3.83	-	1.28
WS_3	Analytical Thinking	-0.010	3.02	2.58	3.67
IN_4	Investigative	-0.110	2.95	1.07	1.62
GWA_35	Resolving Conflicts and Negotiating with Others	0.075	0.91	1.06	1.64
AB_47	Trunk Strength	-	-	6.79	9.95
AB_44	Stamina	-	_	2.80	2.81
KNW_7	Computers and Electronics	-	_	2.03	3.30

Note. β is standardized regression coefficient, FI is feature importance as computed by relative weight analysis of the sparse predictors for E-Net and obtained using Python built-in methods for RF and GB.

FLORIDA TECH

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Table 1. Elastic Net Regression Results

I. LIASUL NEL NEY ESSION NESULS						
е	O*NET Descriptor	β	FI (%)			
5	Static Strength	0.221	7.256			
6	Deal With Physically Aggressive People	0.168	4.395			
9	Performing General Physical Activities	0.121	6.590			
3	Speed of Limb Movement	0.117	6.263			
4	Investigative	-0.110	2.954			
6	Exposed to Minor Burns, Cuts, Bites, or Stings	0.104	4.507			
3	Coordinate or Lead Others	-0.090	0.895			
2	Technology Design	-0.088	2.467			
5	Resolving Conflicts & Negotiating with Others	0.075	0.915			
9	Responsible for Others' Health and Safety	0.073	4.338			
6	Working Conditions	-0.068	3.153			
0	Mathematics	-0.068	2.681			
1	Far Vision	0.062	2.602			
9	Design	-0.057	1.440			
0	Face-to-Face Discussions	-0.055	1.112			
2	Level of Competition	-0.050	1.379			
0	Indoors, Not Environmentally Controlled	0.046	2.402			
9	Spend Time Walking and Running	0.043	5.256			
9	Extremely Bright or Inadequate Lighting	0.028	2.845			
4	Reading Comprehension	-0.028	3.808			
4	Spend Time Kneeling, Crouching, or Crawling	0.025	4.274			
5	Monitoring and Controlling Resources	0.023	0.262			
7	In an Enclosed Vehicle or Equipment	0.022	1.956			
7	Work With Work Group or Team	-0.021	0.604			
8	Staffing Organizational Units	0.021	0.346			
2	Programming	-0.019	3.835			
6	Foreign Language	0.017	0.618			
6	Guiding, Directing, Motivating Subordinates	0.017	0.410			
5	Glare Sensitivity	0.011	3.178			
3	Analytical Thinking	-0.010	3.017			
1	Processing Information	-0.010	2.234			
7	Deal With Unpleasant or Angry People	0.008	1.921			
3	Spend Time Keeping or Regaining Balance	0.006	4.460			
1	Analyzing Data or Information	-0.001	2.821			
2	Auditory Attention	0.000	2.808			
	tandardized regression coefficient. El is feature imp					

Note. β is standardized regression coefficient, FI is feature importance as computed by relative weight analysis of the sparse predictors.