USF Department of Women's and Gender Studies Evaluation, Tenure, and Promotion Guidelines

May 2020

The guidelines articulated in this document do not supersede The State University System guidelines on tenure, the Collective Bargaining Agreement, the University of South Florida's Guidelines for Tenure and Promotion, the CAS Procedures for Mid-Tenure Review and for Tenure and Promotion, or the guidelines for promotion for Instructors (all of which may be found on the USF Provost's or CAS websites). The provisions in this document are compatible with those university- and college-wide guidelines and adapt them to support and reward the interdisciplinary work of Women's and Gender Studies as articulated in *Women's Studies Scholarship: A Statement by the National Women's Studies Association Field Leadership Working Group.* The goal of these guidelines is to build on USF and SUS guidelines and to clarify what our discipline values in teaching, research, and service. These guidelines should be reviewed on a regular basis by the department faculty to ensure their continued relevance and applicability.

The Department of Women's and Gender Studies recognizes the principles of equity of assignment, resources and opportunities of faculty across a multi-campus university.

In general, the following guidelines aim to support the NWSA Working Group's assertion that we should "widen the scope" of "what 'counts' as models of research teaching and service" (WSS 2013, p. 9).

Mission of the Department

The mission of the Department of Women's and Gender Studies at the University of South Florida is feminist education, research, and practice. We promote social justice by engaging students in the discovery and production of knowledge that emerges from feminist perspectives on culture and society.

- We teach students to use the analytic skills that emerge from engaging the intersections of gender, race, ethnicity, class, sexuality, ability, and nation in order to promote responsible citizenship in a diverse transnational environment.
- We expose limits in traditional higher education caused by excluding women and other marginalized groups and create knowledge that is transformative and inclusive. We aim for knowledge that will better all people's lives, not just the lives of a few.
- We connect our work as academics with the social, political, and economic world outside the university to educate our students about social inequalities

¹ Dill, Bonnie Thornton, Vivian M. May, et. al. NWSA, 2013. Hereafter cited in the text as WSS 2013.

that result from sexism, heterosexism and homophobia, racism, classism, ableism, and ethnocentrism. We link knowledge, research, teaching, and activism. We seek to empower students through a feminist critique of social, cultural, and institutional structures that enables them to think more critically about their own lives and that inspires them to work as active citizens for social change.

Overall Tenure and Promotion Expectations

For tenure and promotion to Associate Professor, demonstrated excellence in teaching and research as well as a record of sustained service are expected for tenure and promotion. For promotion to Professor, demonstrated excellence in teaching and research as well as a record of substantial service and leadership are expected. For promotion for Instructors, demonstrated excellence in teaching is expected, and a record of sustained service will be important in the decision. Below, we articulate what "excellence" in Women's and Gender Studies means to the department and to our understanding of the discipline.

I. Teaching

Excellence in teaching is expected for all candidates for tenure and/or promotion.

As a department, we take great pride in our teaching and value both quality and innovation. We recognize, however, that "given the field's overtly political approach to knowledge and power, women's and gender studies scholars often face resistance in the classroom.... For example, teaching evaluations may reflect students' discomfort with challenges to their preexisting modes of thinking about the world around them, especially if the candidate teaches required courses" (WSS 2013, p. 9). We therefore agree with both the NWSA Working Group's and USF's recommendations that we should employ not just student evaluations but alternative evaluations of teaching.

To achieve excellence in teaching, we expect candidates to demonstrate:

- Innovation and curricular currency: we expect candidates to be able to teach several different courses successfully and to different student populations (for example, to both majors and non-majors, and/or to lower-level and upper-level or graduate-level students) within both their substantive areas and the core curriculum; candidates should keep courses up-to-date and should respond to student- or peer-critiques with new materials, assignments, or teaching methods. Candidates should also contribute to curricular development and course redesign. Development or management of courses or units that contribute to the engagement of our students outside the classroom are highly desirable.
- Effective classroom teaching: we expect candidates to provide evidence of student learning, effective classroom management, and rigor of instruction. While we prefer that teaching evaluations meet or exceed college averages and require all candidates to submit the reports of student evaluations, Women's and Gender Studies will weigh a diversity

- of measures of effective teaching. Because student evaluations of teaching are often biased against women faculty, faculty of color, and faculty who challenge the ideological status quo, and because the current use of online evaluations yields statistically irrelevant returns, student evaluations cannot be the sole measure of teaching excellence. Peer evaluations, reviews of teaching portfolios, and faculty reflections will be considered alongside student evaluations of teaching.
- Successful mentoring and advising of students: we expect candidates
 to successfully mentor and advise students. Candidates for Associate
 Professor and Professor should document their ability to successfully
 work with undergraduate and graduate students in supervising
 internships, directing theses, serving on graduate committees,
 supervising teaching assistants, and/or directing individual study.
 Candidates for Instructor promotion should document mentoring of
 undergraduate student success (e.g., advising on career and/or further
 graduate study; supervising internships; supervising Honors theses) and
 mentoring graduate student teaching assistants.

Candidates should, in consultation with the department chair and/or a faculty mentor, craft teaching narratives and compile evidence of teaching excellence that outlines how they have met department expectations. We invite candidates to provide, and expect committees to consider, evidence of teaching effectiveness that may include: peer teaching observations and evaluations (noting that peer observations should comply with the CBA and with department guidelines for teaching observations); new course design; adaptation and revision of existing courses, including incorporation of new technologies; syllabi, assignments, and other instructional materials; evidence from courses of teaching effectiveness (including student performance on pre- and post-instruction measures); evidence of teaching improvement activities; exemplary student work; evidence of advising and mentoring; and Honors- and MA-thesis or internship direction.

While the majority of WGS teaching evaluation will be based on classroom, mentoring or online experiences, we also affirm the value of service-learning and alternative learning formats, and recognize here the importance of alternative teaching venues: supervision and mentoring of teaching assistants; learning communities, panels, workshops, community organizations, and study-abroad. We value and recognize team-teaching and understand that in interdisciplinary teaching, collaboration may be more valuable to students, but also more challenging for faculty members. The NWSA has asserted that community engagement and activism can and should be acknowledged as both teaching and research.

II. Research

Excellence in research is expected for all candidates for tenure and promotion to the rank of Associate Professor or Professor. Women's and Gender Studies expects that all candidates for promotion will publish scholarship in high-impact venues appropriate to their specialty. Candidates for Associate Professor will be able to

demonstrate an emerging national reputation, and candidates for Professor will be able to demonstrate a national or international reputation; such reputations can be documented by invitations to present research or contribute research, by citation, by awards and grants, or by other professional recognitions. Candidates may elect to be considered by either the School of Humanities or the School of Social Sciences. As of 2014-15, successful applications for tenure and promotion to Associate Professor within the School of Humanities typically include a scholarly book (or its equivalent) plus three or four substantial scholarly articles; successful applications within the School of Social Sciences typically include 10 – 12 refereed scholarly publications.

Given the interdisciplinary nature of Women's and Gender Studies, tenure and promotion committees considering WGS candidates must recognize that candidates are likely to contribute to several "fields" as they are traditionally defined. While candidates should articulate the coherence of their work to those committees, members of the committees must also recognize that "divergent and diverse contributions should not be approached as a 'watering down' of rigor or as 'making exceptions to excellence,'" as it is a disciplinary standard that WGS "was established, in part, to transgress institutional norms in higher education" (WSS 2013, p. 9-10).

We acknowledge USF's goal to maintain pre-eminent status as an institution, and expect faculty to engage in high-impact scholarly work. USF generally recognizes scholarly peer review as the best means to judge the quality and impact of scholarship and outlines in its tenure and promotion document the various kinds of peer review that are deemed appropriate; USF also recognizes, however, that the impact of community-engaged scholarship may take other forms. For WGS, candidates are expected to publish in peer-reviewed scholarly venues, but committees should accept that high-impact scholarly records may include other forms of research in addition to peer-reviewed scholarly venues. In the discipline of WGS, high impact work takes place within scholarly journals and academic presses. It may also originate from activism, applied research, creative efforts or pedagogy, and may take the form of policy or research reports, performances, community action projects, consulting, and field-defining statements and textbooks; high impact scholarly work may be produced in more accessible forums, including open access online journals, blogs, op-eds or other forms of social media. For promotion to full professor, a record of positively received grant applications or successful funding (from internal or external sources) may also be considered an indicator of high-impact scholarly work. Candidates should also take seriously the value USF places on a sustained record of scholarship; one large project or a flurry of several projects at or near the end of a probationary period does not show that sustained record.

Because WGS is itself an interdisciplinary field, and because some candidates may work more or less directly within a traditional discipline that is not familiar to all members of the department, candidates will provide evidence of the scholarly rigor of their publication venues. For traditional scholarly journals and presses, this will include impact factors and/or the publications' circulation and selectivity statistics; for non-traditional forums, candidates should provide evidence of the publications' impact and intended audience. All candidates should provide whatever evidence they can amass

documenting citations, use of materials in courses at other universities, or "real-world" use of research in community change and activism.

WGS values collaborative work. During the tenure-earning period, however, the majority of publications should be single- or first-authored. Candidates should document their individual contributions to collaboratively published research in the context of the other authors' contributions to the work. Papers and works coauthored with collaborators other than former mentors helps to establish the independence of the candidate's research program. Coauthoring papers and works with the candidate's own students provide additional evidence of an independent research program and may contribute to the candidate's record of teaching.

WGS is a field devoted to challenging the politics of the production of knowledge itself. Candidates engaged in this activity may face a larger burden of documenting peer-recognition than those who work within the boundaries of traditional knowledge structures. As the NWSA Working Group puts it, "Critical awareness of inclusions and exclusions in knowledge production is foundational" to our field (WSS 2013, p. 16). Tenure and promotion committees in WGS must take the politics of knowledge production into account when making recommendations to the college.

III. Service

WGS, because of our small size and our collaborative governance model, expects that service will include active and cooperative participation in department meetings and in departmental committees, but sets a goal of not overburdening faculty with service requirements. We also recognize the interdisciplinary nature of our department, and value contributions to the larger University community, including college- and university-level committees, as well as to the larger community as well. We recognize feminist work in the community as contributing to our larger departmental mission. We also value service to the profession, including MS reviews and active service to professional organizations. We expect all candidates for promotion to demonstrate sustained service within the department, the university, and the profession.

USF defines service as contributing to the University, the professional field or discipline, or the public, but requires that it relate to the mission of the University to be considered for tenure and promotion, rather than being the sort of service that individuals perform as private citizens. USF also distinguishes service from the work undertaken as part of scholarly or pedagogical community-engagement, and urges candidates to "count" that work as either teaching or research. We concur; our recognition of such activities under both Teaching and Research above represents our valuation of such activities within candidates' dossiers.

IV. Full Professor Promotion Criteria

Candidates for promotion to full must meet or exceed the criteria for tenure and promotion in terms of teaching, research and service.

Excellence in teaching is expected for all candidates for promotion to full, and candidates are encouraged to use diverse evidence to illustrate and document their teaching. Mentoring of graduate students, in particular, is an important expectation of candidates for full.

There are numerous strategies by which candidates for full may demonstrate substantial service at USF, including but not limited to: assuming department, college, or university leadership roles; sharing expertise across multiple domains to diverse audiences; and working to improve the academic community.

Candidates applying for promotion to full in WGS are further expected to demonstrate a record of high-quality scholarship during the period under review, whether single-/co-authored or single-/co-edited:

- Books, monographs, anthologies, edited collections, and textbooks
- Journal articles
- Chapters in edited collections and anthologies, including introductions and conclusions
- Externally funded grants as PI or Co-PI
- New and updated editions of previous work
- Community-engaged scholarship leading to substantive products
- Encyclopedia entries related to the discipline or sub-discipline(s)

Candidates coming up under the School of Social Sciences typically will include 8-10 scholarly publications; candidates coming up under the School of Humanities will typically have either 8-10 scholarly articles or will have a scholarly monograph and 2-4 articles. Scholarly articles are usually 8000-10,000 words and monographs are typically 90,000 – 100,000; items particularly shorter or longer than average should be noted and considered as part of the well-rounded program of research, and should be discussed in terms of measured impact of the work. Candidates should discuss edited works with the FEC and/or department chair to agree on equivalence(s) to other published work.

We anticipate that candidates for Full Professor, more frequently than candidates for tenure and promotion, will engage in collaborative research reflective of their greater scholarly connections. We also anticipate that such candidates will merge their mentoring and scholarly activities by engaging in collaborative work with students and junior scholars. WGS values such collaborative approaches to research and scholarship. We encourage candidates to discuss their contributions to projects in addition to the projects themselves in their research statements.

Finally, successful applications for promotion to full will demonstrate that candidates interacted as members of their academic communities in ways that garnered a national reputation or national or international visibility. Evidence of national/international visibility might include the following recognitions or types of work within the candidate's disciplinary field and sub-field(s):

- National or international awards, honors, fellowships, institutional appointments, etc.
- Invited work in journals or national or international contexts, including plenaries, symposia, assemblies, etc.
- Work produced in collaboration with scholars/researchers in other countries or with scholars/researchers working externally to the University of South Florida
- Reprints of previously published work, such as journal articles reprinted as book chapters
- Editorships of national or international journals or publishers
- Editorial board service for national and international journals or publishers
- Guest editing for special issues of journals
- Organizing or planning national or international conferences or conference programs for the discipline or sub-discipline(s)
- Holding office in national or international organizations
- Doing program reviews and/or evaluations for national and international organizations
- Contracts and consultancies for national or international organizations
- External reviewing of application dossiers for tenure and promotion, awards, grants, etc.

Activities listed above achieved within relevant subfields are considered indicators of national reputation. Candidates are not required to meet all of the listed criteria, and the list is not exhaustive.

V. Instructor Promotion

Candidates for promotion on the instructor track should refer to the document "USF Instructor Promotion Guidelines, 2020."

VI. Procedures

A. Committee Formation

For the purposes of tenure and promotion, "WGS faculty" will include tenure-line and instructor-line faculty with appointments of 49% or greater in the department of Women's and Gender Studies. Emeritus and affiliated faculty will only be considered "faculty" in the circumstances outlined below. Faculty on sabbatical are not required to take part in tenure and promotion reviews, but are allowed (and encouraged) to do so.

1. Tenure-earning and Tenured Faculty

WGS will follow all procedures as outlined by the College and University. Department promotion and tenure committees will include all tenured faculty when considering tenure and promotion to the Associate Professor rank, and will include all Professors when considering promotion to Professor. In all cases, such committees should include at least three faculty members; if there are not enough WGS faculty of appropriate rank to form a committee, such committees will include members of the Affiliate Faculty sufficient to constitute a viable and legal committee. The Dean of CAS makes the decision about which Affiliate Faculty members to include in this committee, in consultation with the department chair; the chair will, during this consultation, ensure that the candidate's disciplinary background is fairly represented to the Dean. Until there are more than five faculty members at any given rank, committees will consist of all faculty at a given rank. When the department exceeds five faculty in rank, this document will be revised.

Mid-tenure review is similar to tenure review except that letters are not required.

2. Instructor Promotion

WGS will follow all procedures as outlined by the College and University. The Instructor Promotion Committee will be formed on an as-needed, *ad hoc* basis, and will include three to five faculty members from the tenure-line faculty and/or Instructor-line faculty who have been promoted to Level 2 (for considering Level 2 promotions) or Level 3 (for considering Level 3 promotions). If there are not enough WGS faculty of appropriate rank to form a committee, such committees will include members of the Affiliate Faculty sufficient to constitute a viable and legal committee. The Dean of CAS makes the decision about which Affiliate Faculty members to include in this committee, in consultation with the department chair; the chair will, during this consultation, ensure that the candidate's disciplinary background is fairly represented to the Dean. The Instructor Promotion Committee will consider applications and will make recommendations to the Department Chair and College Dean; the Department Chair will make a separate recommendation to the College Dean.

B. Committee Procedures

1. Votes and Recommendations

The T&P committee will vote on tenure and promotion recommendations at a meeting and will write a committee evaluation of the candidate; the vote will be recorded in the candidate's applications. The Chair will make a separate recommendation and will write a separate evaluation. All recommendations will be available to candidates in their files.

Regional Chancellors will provide a formal review in promotion and tenure cases for faculty members on branch campuses prior to a College Dean completing and forwarding a recommendation to the Provost (see USF Consolidation Handbook).

2. Required and Recommended Materials

- a. <u>Required</u>: Tenure application with annual evaluations in the university-designated review system, course evaluations in the university-designated review system, mid-tenure evaluations at all levels.
- b. <u>Recommended</u>: Faculty narratives should concisely provide a rationale for understanding the candidate's teaching and research trajectory and the coherence of their scholarly and pedagogical project(s); the narrative should strive to present the candidate's work in language that would be understandable to non-specialist academics, should highlight major achievements, and should provide a context for the quality of publications and teaching endeavors. The narrative should explain any gaps, anomalies, or apparent irregularities, but should not serve as an *apologia*.

Supplementary materials should include copies of publications, letters of acceptance/contracts for publication, syllabi and other relevant teaching documentation, including peer evaluations.

3. External Evaluators

Candidates will work with the Chair to develop the list of external evaluators, following CAS procedures. Candidates should strive to recommend evaluators who understand the nature of research institutions and the place of Women's and Gender Studies within such institutions. Candidates and Chairs should attempt to include evaluators from universities that could be considered USF's peers or aspirational peers.

The original draft of this document was approved by the WGS faculty on February 13, 2019 by a vote of 7-0. Slight revisions to tenure and promotion to full professor standards were approved by the tenured faculty on February 4, 2020 by a vote of 4-0.

This document was approved by the College of Arts and Sciences Dean's Office on: January 7, 2020 and by the Provost's office on June 19, 2020.

This document will be formally reviewed every five years (on years ending in 0 or 5). It may be revised at any time if a majority of full-time faculty members vote to revise it.