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BALANCING INDIVIDUAL WISHES & COMMUNITY RISK
Reluctant Complainants

- **Mistrust** of the organization, the investigation process, the interviewers, or the system in general

- **Discomfort with or fear of:**
  - Strangers/Interviewers
  - Subject Matter
  - Cross Examination at a Live Hearing
  - Retaliation
  - Not Being Believed
  - Re-Traumatization
  - Getting In Trouble

- **Denial** or being unprepared to fully process an incident
Assessing Risk

- Based on complainant’s account, is there reason to believe respondent is an ongoing threat?

- Consider threat to community and threat to complainant

- Intimate partner violence situations can make complainant reluctant to move forward, even if they are still at risk – important to understand cycle of violence with IPV

- Some factors to consider:
  - Pattern Behavior
  - Predatory Behavior
  - Number & Severity of Incidents
  - Use of a Weapon
  - Apparent Escalation of Behavior
New regulations provide the option for a complainant to withdraw from a formal complaint, at which time the Title IX Coordinator may dismiss the investigation or may continue to investigate.

However, even if the process continues without complainant, regulations require that if “a party or witness does not submit to cross-examination at the live hearing, the decision-maker(s) must not rely on any statement of that party or witness in reaching a determination regarding responsibility.”

Without a complainant, you must rely upon other evidence to “build” your case.

Assess what type of evidence is available that independently shows misconduct (ex: other witnesses, admissions from respondent, video evidence, electronic messages).

Even if investigation not required by Title IX regulations, there may be important state law, public policy, or civil liability reasons for proceeding.
New York “Enough Is Enough” Law

NY Article 129 B § 6446

[A reporting party] declining to consent to an investigation shall be honored unless the institution determines in good faith that failure to investigate does not adequately mitigate a potential risk of harm to the reporting individual or other members of the community...

Factors used to determine whether to honor such a request include, but are not limited to:

a. Whether the accused has a history of violent behavior or is a repeat offender

b. Whether the incident represents escalation in unlawful conduct on behalf of the accused from previously noted behavior

c. The increased risk that the accused will commit additional acts of violence

d. Whether the accused used a weapon or force

e. Whether the reporting individual is a minor

f. Whether the institution possesses other means to obtain evidence such as security footage, and whether available information reveals a pattern of perpetration at a given location or by a particular group
Other Considerations

- Even if your state or federal law does not mandate an investigation, **consider initiating one**
- Respondent may pose risk to the safety and well-being of your community
- Duty to protect your community
- Potential liability if organization fails to protect community from a known risk
Explaining Complainant Options

- **Ask them to articulate** why they are hesitant to move forward
- **Be transparent & open** with complainant about reasons to consider moving forward
- **Explain** anti-retaliation policy
- **Express your concern** regarding ongoing risk
- **Ensure they know** that they maintain agency and ownership over their experience and account
- **Offer time to think** or to speak with counselor or advisor
Explaining Complainant Options

- **Explain the investigative and hearing process**, including separate rooms for live hearing

- **Do not press** or force

- **Remain compassionate**, kind, and understanding of the difficulty of this situation

- **Offer services and accommodations** regardless of complainant cooperation with investigation

- When can you allow a complainant to remain **anonymous**?
Deciding To Proceed Without Complainant

Especially in cases of sexual or identity-based violence, it is important to consider the need for the complainant to have control over their account and the process.

- Complainant may not be ready to proceed now, but may decide to in the future.
- Weigh evidence of recurrence of an incident against complainant’s hesitation and stage of processing experience.
- If you decide ongoing risk is great enough, keep complainant informed.
- Provide option for complainant to remain involved as much as they want to.
- In cases of pattern behavior and multiple allegations, may offer complainant option to be involved as a witness.
Investigating Without a Complainant

- What information did the complainant provide?
- Were there witnesses mentioned, including outcry?
- May have to cast a wider net
- May have to speak to respondent earlier in process
- Review publicly visible social media or other online media if possible
- Continue to keep complainant informed if they'd like to be, and as appropriate
- Gather other electronic evidence
- Conduct interviews of other individuals, even if known facts are unclear/vague at time of interviews
Alternative Resolutions

- When you determine that the ongoing risk to the community or the complainant is not sufficient to proceed with an investigation, consider alternative resolutions

  - Restorative practices can provide a pathway to individual and community healing without an investigation

  - Restorative practices focus on accountability, recognizing harms done, self-improvement, and community repair

  - Important to have staff or external resources trained in facilitating restorative circles or conferences

- Restorative practices are not the same as mediation