Meeting brought to order at 1:00PM, roll called and quorum confirmed.

2. Guests introduced.

3. Minutes from October 21, 2015 were unanimously approved with no corrections.

4. Jim Grant presented the information concerning the 2015 USF SP Campus Master Plan Amendment #1 (2016).
   a. Due to the lengthy review with the City of St. Petersburg, they were unable to incorporate the City's Comments in time for the USFSP Master Plan to go through the process for approval by the BOT on 12/23/2015.
   b. A map of the USFSP Urban Design Illustrative Plan/10 Year Master Plan and a copy of the USFSP Master Plan Update 2015-2025 Comments from City of St. Petersburg – Incorporated into Amendment #1 were distributed to the Committee.
   c. Mr. Grant noted that the Comments were all inclusive and that there were only a couple of substantive comments.
1) On page 4-2 the reference to “Off-Campus Development” has been modified to include reference to and support of the city’s “Innovation District”.

2) On page 4-4 the “Plan Framework for Land Use” has been modified to include reference to the City’s Comprehensive plan and Innovation District as well as reinforcement of the City grid.

3) On page 4A-1 “Urban Design Element” the city is not in favor of the University’s desire to convert 3rd Street and 6th Avenue South to pedestrian only and would like that noted on the Master Plan. The City is concerned about not having emergency access direct from Albert Whitted Airport to the medical district on the campus west side and also the impact on traffic during the Grand Prix. The University has indicated that they would open 6th Avenue access for that week. The University understands the City’s position on this issue. At this time preference is to maintain the document as currently written.

4) Mr. Grant pointed out a future building site for a possible P3 Housing project and areas for additional instructional labs.
   d. Following the presentation there was a call for a vote to forward USFSP Master Plan Amendment #1 to ACE; it passed unanimously.

5. Barbara Donerly introduced as an Information Item the Draft 2015-2025 USF Campus Master Plan Amendment #1 (2017 Tampa) and requested that any questions be forwarded to her.
   a. Moffitt is planning demolition of the Child Care Center (which is within their sublease line) and have executed a Letter of Agreement for an Amendment to the existing sublease to include the existing USF Eye Institute property.
   b. The Fletcher Avenue and Palm Drive TECO substation needs more property for to expansion.
   c. The Publix will move to the east, with their front entrance facing Palm Drive and will have a right-in-right-out entrance to the parking lot off of Fletcher Avenue.
   d. The future 80,000GSF Honors College is proposed to be located on the site of a future Housing component.
   e. There is a proposed 60,000GSF facility for returning veterans, located next to the Joint Leadership Building.
   f. There is a proposed 73,000GSF area with shell space for the Study Center (INTO)
   g. As a preview, no action was taken

   a. The top floor of the garage would be installed with solar panels, with total cost of installation and upkeep TECO’s in return for a 30 year lease.
   b. Supports goal reductions in USF carbon footprint and Greenhouse Gas
   c. Generated electricity will belong to TECO but, USF will get the reduction in carbon footprint, and improved STARS (Sustainability Tracking and Reporting System) ranking.
   d. General Counsel’s Office has reviewed the documents. TECO has agreed to all the conditions that were suggested and GCO has included the conditions into the Agreement.
   e. This proposal originally intended installation at the Crescent Hill Garage, but due to all the construction in the area, the location was moved to the Beard Garage.
   f. This is similar to the solar project that USFSP has with Duke Energy. Only 2 parking spaces on the ground floor were lost for the location of required batteries and chargers.
   g. Expected design and construction duration is 8 - 9 months.
   h. Following the presentation there was a call to recommend the project and to forward the proposal to the appropriate workgroup; it passed unanimously.

7. Meeting adjourned at 1:35PM.